

NOTICES

8571

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs, directing that the amounts of cotton textile products in Category 26 (other than duck) produced or manufactured in the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic which may be entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption in the United States for the 12-month period beginning May 1, 1972, and extending through April 30, 1973, be limited to the designated level. The letter published below and the actions pursuant thereto are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the bilateral agreement, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

STANLEY NEHMER,
Chairman, Committee for the
Implementation of Textile
Agreements, and Deputy
Assistant Secretary for Re-
sources.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C. 20226.

APRIL 25, 1972.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: Under the terms of the Long-Term Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles done at Geneva on February 9, 1962, pursuant to the bilateral cotton textile agreement of August 29, 1969, as extended, between the Governments of the United States and the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, and in accordance with the procedures of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed to prohibit, effective May 1, 1972 and for the 12-month period extending through April 30, 1973, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of cotton textile products in Category 26 (other than duck)¹, produced or manufactured in the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, in excess of the level of restraint for the period of 1,157,625 square yards.

Cotton textile products in Category 26 (other than duck)¹ produced or manufactured in the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic and which have been exported prior to May 1, 1972, shall, to the extent of any unfilled balances, be charged against the level of restraint established for such goods during the period of May 1, 1971 through April 30, 1972. In the event that the level of restraint established for that period has been exhausted by previous entries, such goods shall be subject to the level set forth in this letter.

The level of restraint set forth above is subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement of August 29, 1969, as extended, between the Governments of the United States and the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic which provide, in part, that within the aggregate limit, the limitation on Category 26 (other than duck)¹ may be exceeded by not more than 5 percent; for the limited carryover of short-

¹The T.S.U.S.A. Nos. for duck fabric not covered by this directive are:

320...01 through 04, 06, 08
321...01 through 04, 06, 08
322...01 through 04, 06, 08
326...01 through 04, 06, 08
327...01 through 04, 06, 08
328...01 through 04, 06, 08

falls in certain categories to the next agreement year; and for administrative arrangements.

A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 9, 1972 (36 F.R. 19722).

In carrying out the above directions, entry into the United States for consumption shall be construed to include entry for consumption into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The actions taken with respect to the Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic and with respect to imports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Sincerely,

STANLEY NEHMER,
Chairman, Committee for the Imple-
mentation of Textile Agreements,
and Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Resources.

[FR Doc.72-6580 Filed 4-27-72;8:51 am]

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILE PRO- DUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFAC- TURED IN HAITI

Entry or Withdrawal From Warehouse for Consumption

APRIL 25, 1972.

On November 3, 1971, the U.S. Government, in furtherance of the objectives of, and under the terms of, the Long-Term Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles done at Geneva on February 9, 1962, concluded a new comprehensive bilateral cotton textile agreement with the Government of Haiti concerning exports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Haiti to the United States over a 5-year period beginning on October 1, 1971, and extending through September 30, 1976. Among the provisions of the agreement are those establishing a consultation level of 350,000 square yards equivalent for cotton textile products in each category not having a specific limit in Categories 39 through 63 for the first agreement year which began on October 1, 1971. Imports of cotton textile products in Category 51 from Haiti have exceeded the consultation level for the first agreement year.

Accordingly, there is published below a letter of April 25, 1972, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs, directing that upon publication of that letter in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and until further notice, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of cotton textile products in Category 51, produced or manufactured in Haiti and exported therefrom to the United States during the period beginning October 1, 1971, and

extending through September 30, 1972, be prohibited.

STANLEY NEHMER,
Chairman, Committee for the
Implementation of Textile
Agreements, and Deputy As-
sistant Secretary for Re-
sources.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C. 20226.

APRIL 25, 1972.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: Under the terms of the Long-Term Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles done at Geneva on February 9, 1962, pursuant to the bilateral cotton textile agreement of November 3, 1971, between the Governments of the United States and Haiti, and in accordance with the procedures of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed to prohibit, effective upon publication of this letter in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and until further notice, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of cotton textile products in Category 51, produced or manufactured in Haiti and which have been exported to the United States during the period beginning October 1, 1971, and extending through September 30, 1972.

Cotton textile products in Category 51, produced or manufactured in Haiti, which have been released from the custody of the Bureau of Customs under the provisions of 19 U.S.C. 1448(b) prior to the effective date of this directive shall not be denied entry under this directive.

A detailed description of the Categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 9, 1971 (36 F.R. 19722).

In carrying out the above directions, entry into the United States for consumption shall be construed to include entry for consumption into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The actions taken with respect to the Government of Haiti and with respect to imports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Haiti have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Sincerely yours,

STANLEY NEHMER,
Chairman, Committee for the Imple-
mentation of Textile Agreements,
and Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Resources.

[FR Doc.72-6581 Filed 4-27-72;8:51 am]

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

CERTAIN WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

Notice of Public Hearing Regarding Payment of Fees and Charges

Notice is hereby given that the Delaware River Basin Commission will hold

NOTICES

a public hearing on Wednesday, April 26, 1972, in the South Auditorium of the APTM Building, 1916 Race Street in Philadelphia, beginning at 2 p.m. The subjects of the hearing are as follows:

I. Proposed regulation requiring the payment of fees and charges in connection with review of certain water resources projects. The text of the proposed regulation is as follows:

Whereas, review of proposed water resources projects pursuant to section 3.8 of the Delaware River Basin Compact has become a substantial program activity representing a major public cost; and

Whereas, certain categories of project review cases demand extended staff analysis and the use of expert consultants, the cost of which cannot always be forecast within the Commission's budget; and

Whereas, it is timely and in the public interest to initiate a program of allocating a portion of the costs of reviewing water resources projects to the applicant or project sponsor; now therefore

Be it resolved by the Delaware River Basin Commission:

1. A filing fee shall be paid to the Commission, according to the schedule herein, at the time of submission of each application for project review pursuant to section 3.8 of the Delaware River Basin Compact, subject to the provisions of section 2-3.5(a) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure.

2. The schedule of project review fees is the greater of (a) and (b) as follows:

(a) Minimum fee: \$25 for any project; and

(b) Alternative fee: One-tenth of 1 percent of the estimated project cost.

3. Project review fees under this regulation shall be required for the following categories of projects:

- (a) Impoundments;
- (b) Divisions of water into or out of the Delaware River Basin;
- (c) Industrial water use and waste treatment facilities;
- (d) Electric generating and transmission facilities;
- (e) Petroleum product pipelines;
- (f) Stream encroachments; and
- (g) Withdrawal of ground water.

4. Water resources projects sponsored by other public agencies shall be exempt from fees or charges pursuant to this regulation. Projects subject to a charge by another signatory agency may be exempt from this regulation if such charge represents a reasonable reflection of the cost to the public of performing the project review.

5. Hearing charges: If any adversary hearing is required pursuant to the rules of practice and procedure, one-half of the charges of the official stenographer shall be paid by the sponsor or applicant and the hearing examiner may apportion the remainder for payment by the objectors. No apportionment of hearing costs may be made to any objector who withdraws prior to the hearing.

6. Revenues received pursuant to this regulation shall be covered into the Commission's general fund and be subject to specific appropriation by the Commission.

II. Proposed revisions to the eighth annual Water Resources Program as mandated by section 13.2 of the Delaware River Basin Compact. Revisions relate to development of water resources programs in the Basin.

III. Proposal to approve the following water pollution abatement schedule as submitted in accordance with section 3-4.2(2) of the Basin Regulations Water Quality:

(a) A-72-2—GAF Corp. Plant is located in Gloucester City, N.J., discharging into Zone 3 of the Delaware Estuary. Schedule would require recycling of all contaminated wastewater and that facilities to accomplish this go into operation no later than July 31, 1972. The allocation of 3,430 pounds per day of carbonaceous (first stage) oxygen demand for this discharge would be withdrawn and returned to the Zone 3 reserve.

IV. Proposal to amend the comprehensive plan so as to include the following project:

(a) Greater Pottsville Industrial Development Corp. A well water supply project to provide water service in the Frackville Industrial Park, Frackville, Schuylkill County, Pa. The new well would be designed to yield 40,000 gallons per day initially and 250,000 gallons per day ultimately. The water will pass through the city of Frackville sewage treatment system and discharge ultimately into the Susquehanna River Basin.

Copies of the proposed revisions to the Water Resources Program may be obtained from the Commission upon request. Documents relating to the other items listed for hearing may be examined at the Commission's offices. All persons wishing to testify are requested to register in advance with the Secretary to the Commission (Telephone (609) 883-9540).

W. BRINTON WHITALL,
Secretary.

APRIL 14, 1972.

[FR Doc. 72-6527 Filed 4-27-72; 8:48 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

Availability of Comments

Appendix I below contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements which the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented upon in writing during the period from March 16, 1972, to March 31, 1972, as required by section 102(2)(C) of the "National Environmental Policy Act" of 1969 and section 309 of the "Clean Air Act", as amended. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number assigned by EPA to the statement, the title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments.

Appendix II below contains definitions of the four classifications of EPA's comments. Copies of EPA's comments on these draft environmental impact statements are available to the public from the EPA offices noted.

Appendix III below contains a listing of the addresses of the sources for copies of EPA comments listed in Appendix I below.

Copies of the draft environmental impact statements are available from the Federal department or agency which prepared the draft statement or from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151.

Dated: April 21, 1972.

SHELDON MEYERS,
Director,
Office of Federal Activities.

APPENDIX I

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN MARCH 16, 1972, AND MARCH 31, 1972

Responsible Federal Agency	Title and Identifying Number	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Atomic Energy Commission.....	D-AEC-00035-45: Rover Fuels Processing Facility.....	2	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00032-54: Contaminated Soil Removal Facility, Richland, Wash.	1	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00025-19: Bedrock Waste Storage Exploration.....	2	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00022-45: Rio Blanco Gas Stimulation Project.....	2	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00040-20: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station.....	1	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00039-21: Turkey Point Plant Units 3 and 4.....	2	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00038-26: Point Beach Nuclear Plant.....	3	A
Do.....	D-AEC-00037-12: Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant.....	2	A
Corps of Engineers.....	D-COE-32317-04: Maintenance Andrews River, Harwich, Mass.	2	B
Do.....	D-COE-32310-07: Maintenance of Newton Creek, N.Y., Navigation Project.....	1	C
Do.....	D-COE-30026-12: Coastal Engineering Research Center Pier, Assateague Island, Md.	3	D
Do.....	D-COE-61135-20: Savannah National Recreation Area Ga. and S.C.	2	E
Do.....	D-COE-32151-25: Lower Detroit River and River Rouge, Wayne County, Mich.	2	F
Do.....	D-COE-32150-30: South Fork Zumbro River, Rochester, Minn.	1	F
Do.....	D-COE-25017-26: Milwaukee Diked Disposal Area, Milwaukee County, Mich.	1	F
Do.....	D-COE-36109-33: Red River Emergency Bank Protection, La. and Ark.	1	G
Do.....	D-COE-32323-34: Alpine Lake Project, Alpine, Tex.	2	G
Do.....	D-COE-36110-55: Lower Columbia Bank Protection Project.....	2	K
Do.....	D-COE-32310-57: Tesoro Tank Farm and Barge Slip.....	2	K

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2303, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Boston, Mass. 02203.

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 847, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III, Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV, Environmental Protection Agency, Suite 300, 1421 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA 30309.

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V, Environmental Protection Agency, 1 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606.

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI, Environmental Protection Agency, 1600 Patterson Street, Dallas, TX 75201.

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1735 Baltimore Street, Kansas City, MO 64108.

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII, Environmental Protection Agency, Lincoln Tower, Room 916, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, CO 80203.

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX, Environmental Protection Agency, 100 California Street, San Francisco, CA 94102.

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

[FR Doc. 72-6415 Filed 4-27-72; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Dockets Nos. 19122-19125; FCC 72-114]

STAR STATIONS OF INDIANA, INC., ET AL.

Memorandum-Opinion and Order Enlarging Issues

In re applications of Star Stations of Indiana, Inc., Docket No. 19122, Files Nos. BR-114, BRH-1276, for renewal of license of WIFE and WIFE-FM, Indianapolis, Ind.; Indianapolis Broadcasting, Inc., Docket No. 19123, File No. BP-18706, for a construction permit for a standard broadcast station Indianapolis, Ind.; Central States Broadcasting, Inc., Docket No. 19124, Files Nos. BR-516, BRH-992, for renewal of license of KOIL and KOIL-FM, Omaha, Nebr.; Star Broadcasting, Inc., Docket No. 19125, File No. BR-1027, for renewal of license of KISN Vancouver, Wash.

1. This proceeding involves the mutually exclusive applications of Star Stations of Indiana, Inc. (Star), for renewal of license for Stations WIFE and WIFE-FM, Indianapolis, Ind.; and Indianapolis Broadcasting, Inc. (Indianapolis), for a construction permit for a standard broadcast station on the same frequency in Indianapolis, Ind. These applications were consolidated with the renewal applications of Central States Broadcasting, Inc., for renewal of license for Stations KOIL and KOIL-FM, Omaha, Nebr., and Star Broadcasting, Inc., Station KISN, Vancouver, Wash. (also Star stations), and the six applications were designated for hearing by Commission Order, FCC 70-1256, 35 F.R. 19209, pub-

lished December 18, 1970.¹ The Commission specified 25 issues, one of which (Issue No. 23) is a financial qualifications issue against Indianapolis inquiring into: "(a) Whether Jerry L. Kunkel (an Indianapolis stock subscriber) has sufficient funds to meet his stock purchase commitment; (b) whether the applicant can raise the \$250,000 in unencumbered capital upon which its bank loan is contingent; and (c) whether in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to (a) and (b) above, the applicant is financially qualified." Presently before the Review Board is a petition to enlarge issues, filed November 30, 1971, by Star requesting misrepresentation, failure to disclose, lack of candor, and § 1.65 issues against Indianapolis, and clarification of Issue (23).²

FAILURE TO DISCLOSE § 1.65 AND RELATED ISSUES

2. Star alleges that Indianapolis misrepresented certain financial aspects of its application to the Commission, and, in addition, violated § 1.65 of the Commission's rules by failing to disclose substantial and material changes in the financial aspects of its proposal. The charges and counter-charges made in the pleadings involve five of 12 Indianapolis stock subscribers and are somewhat complex. Therefore, in order to simplify the presentation of alleged facts, and to clarify petitioner's requests and Indianapolis' and the Broadcast Bureau's oppositions, we will set forth the arguments of all three as they relate to each of the five Indianapolis principals Star alleges have failed to adequately report their financial position and changes therein. First according to Star, Jack C. Brinson is pledged to purchase 62½ shares or \$71,250 worth of Indianapolis stock and is relying upon his personal assets to meet the commitment. Star asserts that Brinson has failed to report the following facts to the Commission: (1) That the "in excess of \$35,000" of stock listed on the American Stock Exchange reported in Brinson's balance sheet has been sold; (2) that 3 months prior to the designation order he incurred a \$150,000 liability to the American Fletcher National Bank which he did not report in his balance sheet; and (3) that Brinson has purchased a home upon which there is a \$37,500 mortgage. Consequently, Star argues, Brinson has substantially reduced his liquid asset position and this reduction raises serious questions as to his ability to meet his subscription commitment. Star, however, is not challenging Brinson's ability to

meet his stock subscription agreement but rather Indianapolis' failure to inform the Commission, as required by § 1.65, of these allegedly material and substantial changes in Brinson's financial position.

3. Indianapolis, in opposition, maintains that Brinson has paid \$6,687.50 of his commitment, thereby leaving a balance of \$24,562.50. Indianapolis submits that by a petition for leave to amend, filed December 1, 1971, and granted by the Hearing Examiner on December 6, 1971 (FCC 71M-1891), Brinson reported his intention to meet his stock subscription commitment through a bank loan.³ Indianapolis further argues that Brinson never indicated an intention to rely upon any particular assets to meet his stock subscription. Indianapolis concedes that Brinson's liquid position has changed, but maintains that the Commission has never required a stock subscriber to always have liquid assets.⁴ The Broadcast Bureau's argument essentially parallels that of Indianapolis with the additional argument that Star has failed to show that Brinson has insufficient assets to meet the subscription commitment. The Bureau alleges that Brinson's current balance sheet, dated November 15, 1971, and submitted into evidence at the hearing, shows that Brinson's assets total \$520,218 and his liabilities total \$187,500, thereby leaving a net worth of \$332,718, which is certainly sufficient, in the Bureau's view, to meet the balance of approximately \$22,000 which he owes on the subscription.⁵

4. Second, Star argues that Stanley C. Cederquist misrepresented or is guilty of lack of candor with respect to his financial showing. According to Star, Cederquist has subscribed to \$25,000 worth of Indianapolis stock. Star alleges that Cederquist omitted the following facts on his balance sheet: (1) That he personally guaranteed a loan for \$420,000; (2) that he is personally liable for approximately \$24,000 on revolving line-of-credit; and (3) that he has subsequently sold \$3,000 worth of stock. Star alleges that Cederquist testified at the deposition hearing that his present liquid assets would be insufficient to meet his subscription commitment and that he would have to rely upon a bank loan; however, Star asserts,

¹ Brinson submitted a letter from the American Fletcher National Bank, dated Nov. 30, 1971, extending a line-of-credit for \$25,000.

² Indianapolis also argues that Star used discovery proceedings as a fishing expedition in order to seek enlargement of issues. However, the Board has recently held, in a similar situation, that facts revealed at a discovery proceeding for the first time can be utilized as a basis for requesting enlargement of issues. See *Folkways Broadcasting Co., Inc.*, 38 FCC 2d 813, 816 n. 16, 23 RR 2d 944, 948-949 n. 16 (1972).

³ The Bureau also predicates its entire opposition on the contention that the petition is untimely. However, as indicated in footnote 1, supra, the petition will be regarded as having been timely filed. Moreover, Star's petition raises serious public interest questions which warrant consideration on the merits. See *The Edgefield-Saluda Radio Co.*, 5 FCC 2d 148, 8 RR 2d 611 (1966).

⁴ The Commission recently redesignated this proceeding for hearing (FCC 72-48, released Feb. 24, 1972); as a result, the instant petition will be regarded as having been timely filed. See *WPIX, Inc.*, (WPIX), FCC 72-68, ----- FCC 2d -----, released Mar. 31, 1972.

⁵ Also before the Review Board are the following related pleadings: (a) Opposition, filed Dec. 17, 1971, by Indianapolis; (b) opposition, filed Dec. 17, 1971, by the Broadcast Bureau; and (c) reply, filed Dec. 30, 1971, by Star.

NOTICES

8573

APPENDIX I—Continued

Responsible Federal Agency	Title and Identifying Number	General nature of comments
Department of Defense	D-DOD-1007-18: Bombing and Target Range, BT-3 Brown's Island MCB, Camp Lejeune, N.C.	2 E
Department of the Interior	D-DOI-6201-08: Brigantine Wilderness Area, N.J.	1 C
Do.	D-DOI-6104-21: Big Cypress National Fresh Water Reserve, Fla.	1 E
Do.	D-DOI-6201-21: St. Marks Wilderness Area Refuge, Fla.	1 E
Do.	D-DOI-6202-19: Cape Romain Wilderness Area Charleston County, S.C.	1 E
Do.	D-DOI-6204-20: Wolf Island Wilderness Area, Ga.	1 E
Do.	D-DOI-6087-34: Padre Island National Seashore, Tex.	1 E
Do.	D-DOI-6103-41: Chase Lake National Wildlife Refuge, N. Dak.	2 I
Do.	D-DOI-6102-41: Lostwood National Wildlife Refuge, N. Dak.	2 I
Do.	D-DOI-3402-00: Pueblo Dam and Reservoir Frying Pan Ark. Project.	2 I
Do.	D-DOI-6201-46: Deep Well Drilling for Geothermal Resource Investigations Imperial Valley, Calif.	2 J
Do.	D-DOI-3810-48: Proposed Concrete Lining of Main Outlet Drain, Colorado River Front Work and Levee System, Ariz.	2 J
Department of Transportation	D-DOT-4119-05: Reconstruction Route 252, Plymouth, Conn.	3 B
Do.	D-DOT-5112-07: East-West Runway at Stewart Airport.	3 C
Do.	D-DOT-4108-11: I. R. 1062 Sec. 4 Cross Valley Expressway, Luzerne County, Pa.	2 D
Do.	D-DOT-4523-00: U.S. Route 202, Concord Pike (I-95 to Delaware-Pennsylvania line).	2 D
Do.	D-DOT-5004-00: Route 288 Bridge and Approaches, Smithfield.	2 D
Do.	D-DOT-4117-13: Route 92-Naamans Road, U.S. 13 to U.S. 202, New Castle County, Del.	2 D
Do.	D-DOT-4116-13: Route 141 Centre Road, New Castle County, Del.	2 D
Do.	D-DOT-4102-18: U.S. Highway 13E Bypass, Mitchell County, N.C.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4115-23: U-006-3 Davidson County, Tenn.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4114-22: S-202-F State Route 20, Lauderdale County, Ala.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4113-21: State Roads 41 and 43, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Fla.	2 E
Do.	D-DOT-4112-17: K.Y. 15-Hazard Bypass, Perry County, Ky.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4112-22: Brewton South Bypass, Escambia County, Ala.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4110-23: Widening of Chickamauga Dam Bridge and Construction of New Bridge and State Route 13 Connector Route, Hamilton.	E
Do.	D-DOT-4119-23: S.U.-5105(101) Perry Hill Road, Montgomery County, Ala.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4118-18: New Connector between U.S.-29-601 and I-85, Salisbury, Rowan County, N.C.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4118-17: AP-100-95-6L, Somerset-London Road, Putnam County, Ky.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4116-18: U.S.-220 Guilford-Randolph Counties, N.C.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-5116-20: Fannin County Airport, Blue Ridge, Ga.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-5114-20: Greene County Airport, Greensboro-Union Point, Ga.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-5116-18: Ashe County Airport, West Jefferson, N.C.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-5127-21: Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport, Broward County, Fla.	1 E
Do.	D-DOT-4080-26: Stadium Freeway, Milwaukee County, Wis.	1 F
Do.	D-DOT-4108-27: FA Route 171 (Illinois 76) Boone County, Ill.	2 F
Do.	D-DOT-4104-29: St. Mary's South Connector, Auglaize County, Ohio.	1 F

No. 83—8

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 83—FRIDAY, APRIL 28, 1972

APPENDIX I—Continued

Responsible Federal Agency	Title and Identifying Number	General nature of comments
Do.	D-DOT-4105-27: FA Route 7 Spur, Cook County, Ill.	2 F
Do.	D-DOT-4097-29: Defiance 15-1-09, Railroad Grade Separation Replacement, Defiance County, Ohio.	1 F
Do.	D-DOT-4081-29: State Route 112, Lucas County, Ohio.	1 F
Do.	D-DOT-5105-32: Arrowhead Airport, Canadian, Okla.	1 G
Do.	D-DOT-5104-32: Pryor Airport, Pryor Creek, Okla.	1 G
Do.	D-DOT-5149-32: Carnegie Airport, Carnegie, Okla.	2 G
Do.	D-DOT-5148-32: Ponca City Municipal Airport Ponca City, Okla.	2 G
Do.	D-DOT-5121-34: Garner Field, Uvalde, Tex.	1 G
Do.	D-DOT-5120-32: Lawton Municipal Airport Lawton, Okla.	1 G
Do.	D-DOT-5119-32: Antlers Municipal Airport Antlers, Okla.	2 G
Do.	D-DOT-4125-33: Interstate 630 Little Rock, Ark.	2 G
Do.	D-DOT-4124-34: State Highway 350 In Big Spring from Interstate Highway 20 along Owens Street to Loop 402.	2 G
Do.	D-DOT-4123-34: State Highway 71, 1.6 Miles east of West Point to Bastrop County line in Fayette County, Tex.	2 G
Do.	D-DOT-4104-34: Farm Market Road 2791, in Queen City North, Cass and Bowie Counties, Tex.	1 G
Do.	D-DOT-4100-39: RTCC, Ozark County, Mo.	2 H
Do.	D-DOT-4113-41: Highway Project AS-1212, Langdon, N. Dak.	2 I
Do.	D-DOT-5128-44: Mantt Ephraim Airport, Utah.	2 I
Do.	D-DOT-5115-41: Langdon, N. Dak., Airport Improvement.	2 I
Do.	D-DOT-5164-48: Phoenix Deer Valley Municipal Airport, Ariz.	2 J
Do.	D-DOT-4110-55: Oregon Coast Highway-Waterline Drive Section Newport Lincoln County, Ore.	1 K
Federal Power Commission	D-FPC-0704-34: Palo Pinto Generating Station Unit No. 3, Texas.	2 G
Do.	D-FPC-0201-00: Proposed Revised Tariff, El Paso Natural Gas.	2 J
Department of Housing and Urban Development	D-HUD-3603-04: King County Multipurpose Stadium and Convention/Exhibition Complex.	2 K

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE OF EPA COMMENTS

- (1) General agreement/lack of objections. The Agency generally:
- (a) Has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement;
- (b) Suggests only minor changes in the proposed action or the draft impact statement; or
- (c) Has no comments on the draft impact statement or the proposed action.
- (2) Inadequate information. The Agency feels that the draft impact statement does not contain adequate information to access fully the environmental impact of the proposed action. The Agency's comments call for more information about the potential environmental hazards addressed in the statement, or ask that a potential environmental hazard be addressed since it was not addressed in the draft statement.

(3) Major changes necessary. The Agency believes that the proposed action, as described in the draft impact statement, needs major revisions or major additional safeguards to adequately protect the environment.

(4) Unsatisfactory. The Agency believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from the hazards arising from this action. The Agency therefore recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

APPENDIX III

SOURCES FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Director, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460.

prescribed by the Board in the Group Inclusive Touring Basing Fares to Hawaii case, Docket 20580. While Western's complaint rests largely upon contentions of diversion of higher rated traffic and the adverse economic consequences of applying these fares during periods of peak traffic demand, the carrier has not furnished enough factual data for the Board to reach a conclusion that these fares may be unreasonable. Moreover, Western has made no showing that the high level of GIT traffic carried on weekends has adversely affected its profits. On the contrary, the weekend flights may well be profitable because of high load factors. In these circumstances, and since the fares expire on September 30, 1972, and December 10, 1972, we are unable to conclude that sufficient cause has been shown at this time to investigate these fares.

However, we are requesting all carriers offering the fares to file with the Board's Bureau of Economics total revenue passenger miles, revenue passenger miles related to the GIT fares for groups of 40 or more, and available seat miles, by day of the week and by direction on a monthly basis in each of the following markets where nonstop service and GIT 40 fares are provided—Los Angeles, Portland, San Francisco, and Seattle to and from Honolulu/Hilo. Such data shall be filed not later than 30 days after each month for the months of December 1971 through December 1972.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the fares and provisions described in Appendix A hereto,* and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such fares and provisions, are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful fares and provisions, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such fares and provisions;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the fares and provisions described in Appendix A hereto are suspended and their use deferred to and including July 11, 1972, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. The complaint of Western Air Lines, Inc., in Docket 24225 is hereby dismissed;

4. Except to the extent granted herein, the complaints in Dockets 24344 and 24349 are hereby dismissed;

5. The proceeding ordered herein be assigned for hearing before an Examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

6. Copies of this order be filed in the aforesaid tariffs and be served upon Com-

*Filed as part of the original document.

thental Air Lines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Pan American World Airways, Inc., Trans World Airlines, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc., and Western Air Lines, Inc., which are hereby made parties to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.
[FR Doc.72-5775 Filed 4-14-72;8:49 AM]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

Notice of Availability

Environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality, April 3-April 7, 1972.

NOTE: At the head of the listing of statements received from each agency is the name of an individual who can answer questions regarding those statements.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. T. C. Byerly, Office of the Secretary, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-388-7803.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH SERVICE

Final, March 27

1972 Gypsy Moth Suppression and Regulatory Program. Proposed USDA cooperation with State agencies in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin to suppress and/or regulate the gypsy moth. Approximately 200,000 acres would be sprayed with carbaryl. Certain beneficial nontarget insects and arthropods will be reduced in number; the possibility exists for runoff into area water supplies. Comments made by DOC, HEW, DOI, State agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 4079, 255 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-205 589-F)

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Final, March 24

O'Brien and Sioux Counties, Iowa. Proposed issuance of a \$1,900,000 loan to Rural Water System No. 1 in order to develop water resources to serve over 500 rural families in a 170 square-mile area. Comments made by USDA, EPA, HEW, DOI, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 4081, 60 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-202 790-F)

FOREST SERVICE

Draft, March 30

Chairlift No. 2, Mount Ashland, Oreg. Proposed construction of a second chairlift at an existing winter sports complex. The lift will increase the chances of polluting the Ashland Municipal Watershed. (ELR Order No. 4075, 16 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 770-D)

*Concurring statement of Minetti, Member filed as part of the original document.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

DEPARTMENT OF AIR FORCE

Contact: Col. Cliff M. Whitehead, Room 5E 425, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20330, 202 OX 5-2889.

Draft, March 28

Air Force Academy Airmanship Program, Colorado Springs, Colo. Proposed relocation of T-41 training facilities from Peterson Field to the Academy Airstrip. (ELR Order No. 4090, 115 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 908-D)

DEPARTMENT OF ARMY

Corps of Engineers

Contact: Colonel Barnes, Executive Director, Attention: DAEN-CWZ-C, Office of Chief of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20314, 202-693-7168.

Draft, March 28

New Kent County, Va. Proposed treatment of the waters of the Walker Dam Impoundment with a 50-50 mixture of diquat and potassium endosulf. The purpose of the treatment is to control the Brazilian waterweed. Elodea fish will be killed; a reduction of the amount of oxygen in the water will occur; the reservoir will be rendered not potable for 1 week; and there is potential for damage to an adjacent farm. (ELR Order No. 4074, 14 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 771-D)

Bayou Plaquemine, Iberville Parish, La. Proposed filling of Bayou Plaquemine to an elevation 26 feet above m.s.l. from west end of closed lock structure to a point approximately 200 feet west of the Texas and Pacific Railway bridge, preparatory to the construction of Highway 1 relocation fill will be obtained by dredging the Mississippi River Waterway. Several businesses will be lost to the action; a 4(f) statement will be required as public land will be taken (ELR Order No. 4080, 127 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 772-D)

Cordell Hull Dam and Reservoir, Cumberland River, Tenn. Proposed construction of a dam including a 100 MWE power facility; a spillway; and a 13,900-acre reservoir. The purposes of the project are navigation, hydroelectric power, and recreation. Construction is more than 80 percent complete; conversion of a 72-mile stretch of stream to a lake will result in the displacement of residents, utilities, roads, and cemeteries. (ELR Order No. 4110, 20 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 921-D)

Final, March 15

Las Cruces, Don Ana County, N. Mex. Proposed construction of a dry flood control dam and diversion ditch with outlet works, spillway structures and an open discharge channel. Loss of an unspecified amount of wildlife habitat will occur. Comments made by USDA, EPA, DOI, International Boundary and Water Commission, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 3063, 50 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-202 923-F)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Frederick H. Warren, Advisor on Environmental Quality, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, 202-386-6084.

Draft, March 31

Project No. 2692, Macon and Clay Counties, N.C. Proposed approval of a renewal operating license for the Nantahala Power and Light Co.'s Project No. 2692. The project consists of 1,042' long, 250' high

otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. We further conclude that the rates should be suspended pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204 (a) and 1002 thereof.

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation is instituted to determine whether the rates, charges, and provisions on first revised page 25 of Airlift International Inc.'s, Tariff CAB No. 4, and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such rates, charges, and provisions, are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful rates, charges, and provisions, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such rates, charges, and provisions;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the rates, charges, and provisions on first revised page 25 of Airlift International Inc.'s, Tariff CAB No. 4 are suspended and their use deferred to and including July 10, 1972, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. Except as to the extent granted herein; the complaint of Eastern Air Lines, Inc., in Docket No. 24336, is dismissed;

4. The proceeding herein designated Docket No. 24400, be assigned for hearing before an examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

5. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariff and served upon Airlift International, Inc., and Eastern Air Lines, Inc., which are hereby made parties to Docket No. 24400.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-5772 Filed 4-14-72;8:49 am]

[Docket No. 23073]

REA AIR FREIGHT FORWARDING, CONTROL, AND INTERLOCKING RELATIONSHIPS INVESTIGATION

Notice of Reassignment of Hearing

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that public hearing in the above-entitled proceeding previously assigned to be held on March 20, 1972 (37 F.R. 3470, February 16, 1972), is reassigned to be held on May 8, 1972, at 10 a.m., local time, in Room 726, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC, before the undersigned.

Dated at Washington, D.C., April 11, 1972.

[SEAL] ROSS I. NEWMANN,
Hearing Examiner.

[FR Doc.72-5774 Filed 4-14-72;8:49 am]

[Docket No. 24112]

BRANIFF AIRWAYS, INC.

Notice of Hearing Regarding U.S. Mainland-Hawaii First-Class Ex- cursion Fares

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a public hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on April 27, 1972, at 10 a.m., local time, in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC, before the undersigned examiner.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details of this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the preliminary inference report and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the docket section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., April 12, 1972.

[SEAL] RICHARD M. HARTSOCK,
Hearing Examiner.

[FR Doc.72-5773 Filed 4-14-72;8:49 am]

[Dockets Nos. 24401, 24225; Order 72-4-46]

WESTERN AIR LINES, INC., AND NORTHWEST AIRLINES, INC.

Order of Investigation and Suspension Regarding Round-Trip Group Inclu- sive Tour Basing Fares

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 11th day of April 1972.

By tariff revisions¹ marked to become effective April 13, 1972, Western Air Lines, Inc. (Western), proposes to establish round-trip group inclusive tour basing fares of \$179 per person for groups of 40 or more applicable mid-week between its California gateway points and Hawaii. The fares are applicable on flights scheduled to depart on Monday through Thursday only, and require that \$50 minimum be spent for ground services. Passengers must stay a minimum of 2 days; must travel to Hawaii with the group but may return individually; and reservations are required 14 days in advance of the date of departure. The fares are marked to expire September 30, 1972.²

Western states that the purpose of the filing is to alleviate the extreme peaking of demand it experiences for accommodations on Saturday which is created by the existing GIT group-of-40 fares which are available at all times. The total cost of Western's proposed GIT fare would be \$199 (tour add-on included) versus \$229 under the existing GIT fares.³

¹Revisions to Airline Tariff Publishers, Inc., agent, Tariffs CAB Nos. 136 and 142.

²Pan American World Airways, Inc. (Pan American), Trans World Airlines, Inc. (Trans World), and United Air Lines, Inc. (United), have filed to match Western.

³Existing GIT group-of-40 fares require: A tour add-on of at least \$50; minimum stay of at least 7 days; group travel together to and from Hawaii; and advance reservations at least 30 days prior to departure.

Continental Air Lines, Inc. (Continental), and Northwest Airlines, Inc. (Northwest), have filed complaints against Western's instant off-peak GIT fare proposal requesting its suspension and investigation.⁴ The complainants allege that the proposed fares would be very diversionary and would appeal to the type of traveler who would make the trip in any event at higher regular fares. The complainants further contend that, whatever the merits of Western's argument concerning Saturday traffic peaking it would appear that the same may not be true of other carriers in the market. In answer Western states that there is no reason why a peak/off-peak concept should not be employed with respect to GIT fares in order to equalize loads throughout the week, and that it is the first to feel the weekend capacity squeeze since it does not have the lift capacity of the larger carriers.

Upon consideration of the tariff proposal, the complaints and answer thereto, and all other relevant matters the Board finds that the proposal may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. The Board further concludes that the proposal should be suspended pending investigation.

In our opinion, the minimal restrictions on the use of the proposed fares are not adequate to prevent significant diversion of regular fare passengers, particularly since the total cost of the proposed GIT travel (land arrangements included) will undercut the existing mid-week economy fare by \$17⁵ and could well result in throwaway packages. We believe it is important to maintain restrictions on the use of special fares which assure to the maximum extent possible that they will serve their intended purpose of generating new traffic, rather than diverting traffic already moving.

Western has also filed a complaint against the existing GIT group-of-40 fares published by Northwest and requests their investigation. Northwest has answered Western's complaint asserting, inter alia, that the fares in question conform to the Board's decision in the Group Inclusive Tour Basing Fares to Hawaii case, Docket 20580, and that nothing has happened since that decision which necessitates a reinvestigation of these GIT fares.

Western has consistently taken the position that a marketing tool such as GIT fares has no place in the dense and tourist-oriented West Coast-Hawaii market, where it asserts the net effect is more likely to be diversionary than generative. In addition, it alleges that existing GIT fares have severely disrupted the delicate balance of traffic distribution between weekdays and weekends achieved previously by the offering of off-peak fares.

The level of existing GIT group-of-40 fares is consistent with the fare per mile

⁴Pan American filed an answer in support of the complaints.

⁵The proposed GIT fares are applicable in economy (second class) services.

dam, a 1,605 acre reservoir, a 5.6-mile conduit, two diversion dams, and a powerhouse with installed capacity of 43,200 kw. (ELR Order No. 4087, 63 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 901-D) Schoolfield Project No. 2411, Danville, Va. Proposed approval of an application by the Dan River Co. for Project No. 2411. This is a run-of-river development with a 5,300 kw. powerhouse. (ELR Order No. 4088, 29 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 907-D)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Contact: Robert Lanza, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs, Room 4062 HEWN, Washington, D.C. 20202, 202-962-2241.

Draft, March 29

Tri-Service Incinerator, Forest Glenn Station, Montgomery County, Md. Proposed construction of an 87.5 tons per day capacity incinerator to dispose of trash, animal, and infectious research wastes from Walter Reed Army Medical Center, the National Institute of Health, and the National Navy Medical Center. Twelve acres of land will be committed to the two-furnace project; traffic will increase in the area; emissions will result; sediment from construction will be discharged to a Rock Creek tributary. (ELR Order No. 4108, 124 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 898-D)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Office of Communications, Room 7214, Washington, D.C. 20240, 202-343-6416.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Draft, March 29

White Sands National Monument, N. Mex. A proposed revised master plan for maintenance of the Monument during the next 5 years. Missile impact within the monument necessitates the use of vehicles and other mechanical equipment. Designation as wilderness is therefore precluded. (ELR Order No. 4070, 44 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 776-D)

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Contact: Donald F. Bozarth, Director of Current Planning and Programming, Washington, D.C. 20576, 202-382-1471.

Draft, March 10

Comprehensive plan for the National Capital, Washington, D.C. Proposed modification to the Comprehensive Plan in order to make it conform to the Urban Renewal Area. The proposal involves relocation of the "Uptown Center," realignment of Fort Lincoln Park, etc. (ELR Order No. 2080, 56 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 439-D)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Francis Gartell, Director of Environmental Research and Development, 720 Edney Building, Chattanooga, Tenn. 37401, 615-755-2002.

Final, March 31

Colbert Steam Plant, Colbert County, Ala. Proposed addition of gas turbine peaking units 1-8 at Colbert Steam Plant. SO₂ and NO_x will be emitted; the possibility of oil spillage or leakage will result. Comments made by USDA, DOC, DOD, EPA, FPC, HEW, HUD, DOI, DOT, State and regional agencies. (ELR Order No. 4109, 41 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-200 865-F)

Thomas H. Allen Steam Plant, Shelby County, Tenn. Proposed addition of gas peaking units 17-20 to the Steam Plant, in order to provide additional power SO₂ and NO_x will be emitted; the possibility of oil spillage or leakage will result. Comments made by USDA, DOC, DOD, EPA, FPC, HEW, HUD, DOI, DOT, State and regional agencies. (ELR Order No. 4114, 40 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-199 231-F)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Martin Convissier, Director, Office of Program Coordination, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-462-4357.

Draft, March 27

Walton County, Fla. Proposed reconstruction of 16.8 miles of two-lane F.A.S. Route 12. An unspecified amount of land will be lost to the project. (ELR Order No. 4058, 18 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 727-D)

Draft, March 21

Escambia County, Fla. Proposed reconstruction of S.R. 95 (U.S. 29), a four-lane highway. The total length of the project is 8.2 miles (ELR Order No. 4055, 19 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 722-D)

Draft, March 28

P.R. 149, Puerto Rico. Proposed construction of 7.49 miles of P.R. 149, a non-controlled access highway. The Manati River will be crossed by the project; 25 families will be displaced. (ELR Order No. 4065, 21 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 734-D)

Draft, March 27

Project F-625(), Lawrence County, Ohio. Proposed construction of 10 miles of new four-lane limited access highway. Approximately 106 families, businesses, and farms will be displaced by the action. A 4(f) statement would be required as public use land will be utilized. (ELR Order No. 4066, 20 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 735-D)

Draft, March 30

L.R. 16059, Clarion County, Pa. Proposed construction of 5.7 miles of Legislative Route 16059, a new, 2-lane free-access roadway. A 4(f) statement is required as the highway will require land from Cook Forest State Park. (ELR Order No. 4073, 67 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 769-D)

Project US-680(4), Pinellas County, Fla. Proposed reconstruction of 1.4 miles of S.R. 699 from two to four lanes. (ELR Order No. 4076, 34 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 775-D)

Draft, February 29

Project S-0145(4), Washington County, Wis. Proposed reconstruction of 3 miles of FAS Route 145. Approximately 16.2 miles of land would be lost to the project. Cedar Creek would be exposed to contamination. A 4(f) statement would be required as land would be taken from a wildlife refuge. (ELR Order No. 4091, 9 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 925-D)

Draft, March 28

Plaquemines, Orleans, and St. Bernard Parishes, La. Proposed construction of 14.8 miles of I-410, a controlled access six-lane highway. Approximately 540 acres of land will be lost to the project; three families will be displaced; four major streams will be crossed. (ELR Order No. 4096, 50 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 912-D)

¹ Mr. Convissier's office will refer you to the regional office from which the statement originated.

I-410, St. Charles Parish, La. Proposed construction of 1.35 miles of six-lane I-410. Thirty-seven families will be displaced, 876.36 acres of land will be lost to the project. (ELR Order No. 4097, 30 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 924-D)

Final, March 30

Project F-82, LeFlore County, Okla. Proposed relocation and reconstruction of 5.4 miles of U.S. 270. Nine families would be displaced and 85 acres taken by the project. Comments made by State agencies. (ELR Order No. 4092, 18 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-199 574-F)

Project F-236, Coal County, Okla. Proposed reconstruction of 6 miles of S.H. 3. One family would be displaced and 220 acres would be lost to the project. Comments made by DOI and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 4093, 20 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-199 593-F)

Project F-405(), Houston County, Tex. Proposed reconstruction of 6.1 miles of U.S. 287, from two to four lanes. Approximately 147 acres of land will be required by the project; 11 residences, three businesses, and one church will be displaced, two lakes, totaling 2.8 acres, will be drained. Comments made by USDA, EPA, HEW, DOT, State agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 4094, 46 pages) (NTIS ORDER No. PB-202 073-F)

Project F-413(), Morgan, Cass, and Schuyler Counties, Ill. Proposed construction of 51 miles of Supplemental Freeway F.A.P. 413, a four-lane, fully access controlled facility. An unspecified number of residences and amount of land will be lost to the project. Comments made by USDA, Army COE, DOC, EPA, FPC, DOT, USCG, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 4095, 91 pages) (NTIS ORDER No. PB-202 073-F)

Final, March 29

Project F-180, Garfield and Major Counties, Okla. Proposed construction of 20.15 miles of U.S. 60. Twenty-two families, four businesses, and two nonprofit organizations will be displaced by the project; 315 acres of grass and farm lands will be lost. Comments made by DOI, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 4098, 23 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-200 759-F)

Project S-296(5), Shelby County, Ind. Proposed construction of 1 mile of highway to connect with I-74. Comments made by USDA, EPA, HUD, DOI. (ELR Order No. 4099, 23 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-202 176-F)

Final, March 30

Project F-037-1(), Whitman County, Wash. Proposed construction of 5 miles of new two and four lane limited access highway. An unspecified amount of land will be lost to the project. Comments made by USDA, Army COE, EPA, HUD, DOT, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 4100, 45 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-207 915-F)

Project I-86, Towns of Ashford and Union, Conn. Proposed reconstruction of I-86 for a length of approximately 7.16 miles. Comments made by USDA, EPA, HUD, DOI, State Department, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 4101, 101 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-201 299-F)

Project S-1117(102), Chilton County, Ala. Proposed reconstruction of 5.2 miles of FAS Route 1117. Comments made by USDA, DOC, DOD, DOI, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 4102, 28 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-201 249-F)

Project No. S-6012, Payne County, Okla. Proposed construction of 2 miles of FAS Route 6012. Thirteen acres of grasslands will be lost to the project. Comments made by USDA, EPA, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 4103, 18 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-201 849-F)

NOTICES

Projects F-152 and F-252, Osage County, Okla. Proposed construction of 20.4 miles of U.S. 60, a four-lane highway. Approximately 430 acres would be lost to the project. Comments made by DOI, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 4104, 80 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-200 206-F)

Meeting Street Expressway, Richland and Lexington Counties, S.C. Proposed construction of 3.3 miles of urban highway. Approximately 20 businesses and 125 residences would be displaced by the project. Comments made by Army COE, HUD, DOI, DOT, State, local, and regional agencies. (ELR Order No. 4105, 31 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-200 526-F)

Projects S-661 and 612, Floyd County, Ky. Proposed replacement of Bailey Bridge and reconstruction of 1.10 miles of highway, on KY 1426 and KY 979. Fifteen families would be displaced and 12 acres lost to the project. Comments made by DOC, EPA, DOI, DOT, and one State agency. (ELR Order No. 4106, 21 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-202 011-F)

Project S-453, Cumberland County, N.C. Proposed reconstruction of 9.1 miles of NC 53-210. Six families and one business would be displaced by the project. Comments made by USDA, EPA, GSA, DOI, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 4107, 36 pages) (NTIS Order No. PB-201 848-F)

BRIAN P. JENNY,
Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc.72-5771 Filed 4-14-72;8:51 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report 591]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION¹

Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing²

APRIL 10, 1972.

Pursuant to §§ 1.227(b)(3) and 21.30 (b) of the Commission's rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the attached list, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business 1 business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently

¹ All applications listed in the appendix below are subject to further consideration and review and may be returned and/or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission's rules, regulations, and other requirements.

² The above alternative cutoff rules apply to those applications listed in the appendix below as having been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-to-Point Microwave Radio, and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the rules).

Amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cutoff dates are set forth in the alternative—applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed in the appendix below if filed by the end of the 60-day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to section 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to § 21.27 of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[SEAL]

APPENDIX

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

- 6955-C2-P-(2)-72—Massachusetts-Connecticut Mobile Telephone Co. (KCI300), for additional facilities to operate on 152.21 MHz and change the antenna system operating on 152.03 MHz at location No. 1: Provin Mountain, Off West Street, Agawan, Mass.
- 6961-C2-P-(3)-72—Contact of Texas (KKD284), for additional facilities to operate on 152.18 MHz and change the antenna system operating on 152.03 MHz and 152.01 MHz located at Ranger Peak, Franklin Mountain, El Paso, Tex.
- 6962-C2-MP-(2)-72—Intrastate Radio Telephone, Inc., of Los Angeles (KSV977), replace transmitter and change the antenna system operating on 152.24 MHz at location No. 1: End of TV Row, Mount Wilson, Calif., and for additional facilities to operate on 152.24 MHz at a new site described as location No. 2: 8999 Cestro Drive, Los Angeles, CA.
- 6963-C2-P-(3)-72—Tel-Car, Inc. (KSV957), for additional facilities; at location No. 1: Flattop Butte, 5.5 miles east of Jerome, Idaho, add 152.03 MHz base and 459.25 MHz repeater and at location No. 2: 443 Sixth Avenue West, Twin Falls, ID, add 454.25 MHz control.
- 6965-C2-P-(2)-72—Mobile Radio Telephone Service, Inc. (KAQ606), for an additional antenna at location No. 2: 8500 Zuni Street, Westminster, CO, to operate on 35.58 MHz.
- 6978-C2-AL-72—Great Eastern Communications Co., consent to assignment of license from Great Eastern Communications Co., Inc. Assignor, to Francis Lambert & Virginia Claurette trading as Chayce 'n You, Assignee. Station: KRS638 New Bedford, Mass.
- 6979-C2-P-(3)-72—Airsigal International, Inc. (KIE953), to add FM transmitters to operate on 35.58 MHz at location No. 1: Forsyth and Marietta Streets, Atlanta, Ga.; at Location No. 2: 3390 Peachtree Road NE, Atlanta, GA, and at location No. 3: 1000 International Boulevard, Atlanta, GA.
- 6980-C2-P-72—Communications Industries, Inc., doing business as Mobilifone (KKC365), for additional facilities to operate on 152.21 MHz at location No. 1: U.S. Highway No. 80, approximately 2 miles southwest of Midland, Tex.
- 6986-C2-P-72—Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. (KKG413), for additional facilities to operate on 152.75 MHz located 1.5 miles west of El Dorado, Ark.
- 6987-C2-P-(2)-72—Ace Commercial Services, Inc. (KQZ741), change base frequency to 152.06 MHz at location No. 1: 4 miles northeast of Columbus on Highway No. 12, WCEB-TV Tower, Columbus, Miss., and establish two-way facilities to operate on 152.03 MHz at a new site described as location No. 3: East of Ridge Road North, Columbus, Miss.
- 7008-C2-P-(2)-72—Charles L. Slocum (KCI770), for additional facilities to operate on 152.18 MHz base at location No. 2: Coal Bed Road, Elk Township, 6 miles northeast of Warren, Pa., and 454.275 MHz control facilities at location No. 3: Lindsley Hollow Road, 2.5 miles south of Corry, Pa.
- 7009-C2-ML-72—Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Co. (KSV985), change base frequency to 152.72 MHz located at 2.3 miles northwest of Bisbee, Ariz. (Mule Mountain).
- 7010-C2-P-72—Mobile Radio Communications, Inc. (New), for a new one-way station to be located at 922 Linwood Street, Kansas City, MO, to operate on 35.58 MHz.
- 7011-C2-P-72—Telephone Answering Service, Inc. (KJU799), relocate facilities operating on 152.03 MHz to 805 Kentucky Avenue, Paducah, KY.
- 7080-C2-P-72—George E. Kitchen & Associates (KLF562), for additional facilities to operate on 152.09 MHz at a new site described as location No. 2: 552½ West Columbia, Battle Creek, MI.
- 7092-C2-P-(4)-72—Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Co. (KCS513), relocate facilities operating on 152.57, 152.63, 152.69, and 152.75 MHz at 6 miles south-southwest of Casper, Wyo.
- 7093-C2-P-72—Northern Illinois Radio Phone and Paging (New), for a new two-way station to be located west of Martin Road on south side of Route No. 120, McHenry, Ill., to operate on 454.100 MHz.
- 7095-C2-P-72—Airsigal International, Inc. (KIE653), for additional facilities to operate on 35.22 MHz at location No. 3: 3243 Tulane, Memphis, TN.
- 7097-C2-P-(4)-72—Victor E. Duane (New), for a new two-way station to be located at location No. 1: 917 West Galbraith Road, Cincinnati, OH, to operate on 454.150 and 454.275 MHz and at location No. 2: 2345 Symmes, Cincinnati, OH, to operate on 454.350 and 454.225 MHz.

Major Amendment

- 6524-C2-P-71—Airsigal International, Inc. (KIF653), amend to read: For additional facilities to operate on frequency 35.22 MHz at a new site described as location No. 2: 475 North Highland Street, Memphis, TN. See Public Notice No. 545, dated May 24, 1971.
- 7506-C2-P-71—Yakima Telephone Answering Service (New), amend to indicate transfer of control from Jack H. Goetz and Margaret A. Goetz to Dale W. Blair. See Public Notice No. 551, dated July 6, 1971.